Community resources

Follow us on Twitter Check our Reddit Twitter this Digg this page Contact us on IRC

courage is contagious

Viewing cable 05LIMA3075, PERU ON G-4 FRAMEWORK RESOLUTION FOR UN REFORM

If you are new to these pages, please read an introduction on the <u>structure of a cable</u> as well as how to <u>discuss them</u> with others. See also the <u>FAOs</u>

Understanding cables

Every cable message consists of three parts:

- The top box shows each cables unique reference number, when and by whom it originally was sent, and what its initial classification was.
- The middle box contains the header information that is associated with the cable. It includes information about the receiver(s) as well as a general subject.
- The bottom box presents the body of the cable. The opening can contain a more specific subject, references to other cables (browse by origin to find them) or additional comment. This is followed by the main contents of the cable: a summary, a collection of specific topics and a comment section.

To understand the justification used for the classification of each cable, please use this WikiSource article as reference.

Discussing cables

If you find meaningful or important information in a cable, please link directly to its unique reference number. Linking to a specific paragraph in the body of a cable is also possible by copying the appropriate link (to be found at theparagraph symbol). Please mark messages for social networking services like Twitter with the hash tags **#cablegate** and a hash containing the reference ID e.g. **#05LIMA3075**.

Reference ID Created Released Classification Origin 05LIMA3075 2005-07-14 16:23 2011-08-30 01:44 CONFIDENTIAL Embassy Lima Appears in these articles: elcomercio.pe This record is a partial extract of the original cable. The full text of the original cable is not available. CONFIDENTIAL LIMA 003075 SIPDIS E.O. 12958: DECL: 07/14/2015 TAGS: PREL PE UNSC SUBJECT: PERU ON G-4 FRAMEWORK RESOLUTION FOR UN REFORM REF: STATE 126870 Classified By: Ambassador Curtis Struble for Reason 1.4 (B, D) id: 36587 date: 7/14/2005 16:23 refid: 05LIMA3075 origin: Embassy Lima classification: CONFIDENTIAL destination: 05STATE126870 header: This record is a partial extract of the original cable. The full text of the original cable is not available. ----- header ends -----CONFIDENTIALLIMA 003075 SIPDIS E.O. 12958: DECL: 07/14/2015 SUBJECT: PERU ON G-4 FRAMEWORK RESOLUTION FOR UN REFORM **REF: STATE 126870** Classified By: Ambassador Curtis Struble for Reason 1.4 (B, D) 11. (SBU) Ambassador delivered reftel demarche on 7/13 to

Foreign Minister Manuel Rodriguez. Ambassador stressed that it would be counterproductive to call for a vote now on the G-4 resolution on Security Council (UNSC) expansion -- the resolution is contrary to the interests of many important countries who want to have a role in an expanded Council, and greater progress is needed on overall UN reform before we

focus on the specific issue of the Security Council.

- 12. (C) Rodriguez said that Peru also wants to avoid having this issue come to a vote and he would instruct his UN Mission to work closely with the U.S. toward that end. If a vote is held, the Foreign Minister said, it would present a very complex issue for the GOP. Peru has made bilateral commitments to support permanent seats for Brazil, India, Germany and South Africa. Especially because of the importance of Brazil to Peru's interests, a call for a vote on these countries would put great pressure on the GOP to vote yes. Japan as a permanent member is presently a delicate issue for Peru. In the abstract, the GOP recognizes the importance of Japan on the world scene, but as long as former President Fujimori is exiled there, Peru cannot come out in favor of a permanent Japanese seat. If the G-4 resolution were to come to a vote, Rodriguez concluded, Peru's options would be either to come out in favor or to abstain, in either case providing an explanation of the vote.
- 13. (SBU) Rodriguez wanted to pursue a nuanced approach. He said it was important to maintain the equities and balance of interests of the post-war era represented in the Security Council. UNSC expansion should make the Council more realistic in representation, but also more effective in accomplishing its role. Four new countries with veto powers would be unworkable. Peru wanted to see more non-permanent members, but finds the African proposal with five new members from their region to be unrealistic. Peru would favor a more conservative approach -- there ought to be new Council members, perhaps using a mix of two and four year terms, but the Council should not become huge and unwieldy, which could just lead to more vetoes.